Defeasible reasoning in a dialogue

Proponent

1. Tweety can fly → therefore (ArgScheme: modus ponens) → Tweety is a bird
   if something is a bird, then it can fly

Opponent

2. Tweety can fly → therefore (ArgScheme: modus ponens) → Tweety is a bird
   if something is a bird, then it can fly
   defeats by counter example → Penguins cannot fly

3. Tweety can fly → therefore (ArgScheme: modus ponens) → Tweety is not of that kind of bird that cannot fly
   if something is a bird, and is not of that kind of bird that cannot fly, then it can fly

Opponent

4. Tweety can fly → therefore (ArgScheme: modus ponens) → Tweety is a bird
   if something is a bird, and is not of that kind of bird that cannot fly, then it can fly
   defeats by counter example → if its wings are torn, it cannot fly

Proponent

5. Tweety can fly → therefore (ArgScheme: modus ponens) → Tweety is a bird
   Tweety is not of that kind of bird that cannot fly
   if something is a bird, and is not of that kind of bird that cannot fly, and is not impaired, then it can fly

Opponent

6. Tweety can fly → therefore (ArgScheme: modus ponens) → Tweety is a bird
   Tweety is not of that kind of bird that cannot fly
   defeats by counter argument → Tweety is a cartoon figure